

2025 Unified List of Regional Transportation Priorities

Project Submission Form

The Unified List of Regional Transportation Priorities (Unified List) is a strategic tool used to communicate agencies' current regionally important transportation priorities to state legislators and congressional members for potential funding opportunities. SRTC updates the list annually.

Please submit a completed form for each project your agency would like SRTC to evaluate for potential inclusion in the 2025 Unified List, including those that were in the previous year's Unified List if funding is still needed. You can view last year's 2024 Unified List HERE.

Projects should come from your agency's legislative agenda and have a link to regional importance.

Additional information is available	at: https://www.srtc.org	g/unified-list-development/
-------------------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------------

Addition	nal information is a	vaila	ble at: <u>https://www.srtc</u>	.org/unitied	d-list-develoj	pment/				
CONT	ACT INFORMAT	ION								
Projec	t Sponsor Agency				Contact P	erson				
	Phone Number				Email Ad	ldress				
GENE	RAL PROJECT II	NFO	RMATION							
	Project Title									
	Project Location*									
		*Pleas	se include a PDF map or GIS s	shapefile show	ving the project	boundarie	s and location	on.		
ls	the project include	ed in	a current legislative age	enda for yo	ur local agen	ncy? (Yes*		No	
	Project Type	[Selec	ct a Response]			*/	f yes, please	e inclu	de documentation.	
		*Road	way Capital includes constru	cting new road	dways, reconstr	ruction proj	ects that ad	d capa	acity, and paving dirt roac	ls.
Age	ency Priority Rank* *Response is optional.				Project Le	ength				
	Begin Termini				End Te	ermini				
Р	Project Description									
	gional Importance ease describe how									
	project benefits the									
	region.									
PROJ		FUN	DING Please complete	the table b	elow					
Phase	Est. Total Cost		Secured Federal Funds	Secured S	tate Funds	Other So	ecured Fun	ds	Unfunded Amount	
PE										0
RW										0
CN Total		0	0		0			0		0
	Coursels): Discre		I secured funding sourc		U			U		U
-unaina	Lagurceist, Fledse	ust al	i securea funaina sourc	4 8						

State

Other

FUNDING REQUEST			
2025 Federal Request Amount	2025 State Request Amount		
Potential Federal Funding Source(s)	Potential State Funding Source(s)		
PROJECT STATUS			
Construction Start Year Des	sign Status [Select a Response]		
Right-of-Way Required? Yes No Right-of-N	Way Status [Select a Response]		
Environmental Type [Select a Response]			
Environmental Status [Select a Response]			
*Please include documentation supporting the project's design, environment	ntal, and right-of-way status.		
Is the project included in any local, regional, or state plans or If yes, please list any plans or studies the project is in; include Yes No			
Project Status Category* [Select a Response]			
*Please select the project status category based on the criteria below. Implementation: Development: Design is at least 60% complete Significant progress has been made towards right-of-way Environmental approvals are underway Project is identified in a local, regional, and/ or state plan	s are identified in a planning study		
PROJECT BENEFITS AND IMPACTS			
Project Benefits: Please briefly describe the project's safety, mobility,	and economic benefits.		
Project Impacts: Please briefly describe any relevant equity and/or en	nvironmental considerations.		
Project Supporters: Please list all project supporters—include any rel	evant public or private sector entities.		

PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

The following criteria will be used to develop determine a projects overall alignment with the Horizon 2045 Guiding Principles and selected focus areas listed below. Please refer to the Unified List Mapping Tool, available HERE, to assist with answering the questions below.

Please use the scoring guide on the right side of the page to track your project's score while completing this section of the form. As you complete the form, the project's overall score, along with scores in each criteria area will be automatically tracked below.

				matically tracked belo				
TOTAL SCORE Out of 185*	Economic Vitality*	Cooperation & Leadership	Stewardship	Operations, Maintenance & Preservation	Safety & Security*	Quality of	Life Equ	iity
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C)
				afety & Security Que		be scored by S	SRTC staff and	
Economic Vi	tality e, each question w	vorth 10 points.					Scoring Guide	<u>.</u>
*When answering Unified List Mappi		ection, please refer to	o the Regional and	Local Activity Cente	rs map provided ir	n the		
		cess within, or b	oetween, two o	r more regional o	or local activity	centers?*	Question 1a Score	
Yes N	lo						Yes = 5 points No = 0 points	
1b. If you answe	ered yes to ques	stion 1a, does th	e project eithe	r:			Question 1b Score	
	•	on or transit-sup cal activity cent	•	ts and improve a	occess in a tran	ısit	Yes = 5 points No = 0 points	
 contain freig 				a freight focuse	d or mixed focu	us activity		
center? Yes N	lo							
		roight and Good	s Transportatio	on System (FGTS)	A classified rout	to?	Question 2	
[Select a Response]		reight and 0000	is mansportation	on System (i O1S)	Classified four	ie:	Score Tier 1 = 10 point	
							Tier 2 = 8 points Tier 3 = 6 points Tier 4 = 4 points Tier 5 = 2 points Not Classified =	s s
				ortation analysis				of the
Cooperation	& Leadership						Scoring Guide	
*When answering				uments (local TIPs, co	omprehensive plan	ns, et	Scoring Guide	:
1. Is the project				t Plan (TDP) and/	or Comprehen	sive	Question 1 Score	
Plan? Local TIP/Tr	ansit Developm	nent Plan	Comprehensive	e Plan			In TIP/TDP or Co Plan = 15 points	
2a. Is the project	ct identified in a	nny other agency	y plans?				Question 2a/2b Score	
Yes N	lo						Yes to 2a = 5 pc	oints
		i <mark>gh a document</mark> ne process and i	-	-			Each check in 2 2 points	?b worth
Public Meetin Workshops/ Open Houses	Opportui		dy 🔲 (Review by Agency Committees (Plan Cor project advisory committe	nmission, Acti	islative on by Board ouncil		

Stewar 30 points	Scoring Guide				
1) Does t	acorporate electrification or clean fuel strategies?	Question 1 Score			
Yes					
•		ncrease resilience by adding redundancy in an area of limited connectivity?* e a brief explanation.	Question 2 Score		
classifica	tion (FFC) spac	tivity are defined as those lacking the necessary infrastructure to support federal functional ing guidelines and/or transit in areas currently lacking service. More information on FFC spacing in WSDOT's Guidelines for Amending Functional Classification in Washington State manual.	Yes = 10 points No = 0 points		
Yes	O No				
•		educe air quality emissions or VHT/VMT? e a brief explanation.	Question 3 Score Yes = 10 points		
Yes	O No		No = 0 points		
_		ntenance & Preservation h question worth 10 points.	Scoring Guide		
•		ncorporate TDM/TSMO solution or improve capacity without adding travel lanes please provide a brief explanation.	Question 1 Score		
Yes	No		Yes = 10 points No = 0 points		
•		mprove NHS pavement/bridge condition or contribute to STA's adopted public ement targets? If yes, please provide a brief explanation.	Question 2 Score Yes = 10 points		
Yes	O No		No = 0 points		
54 & 55,	starting on	address a need identified in the <u>SRTMC ITS Architecture Plan</u> projects list (tables page 206 of the plan), SRTMC Implementation Plan, or a local technology plan?* e a brief explanation.	Question 3 Score Yes = 10 points No = 0 points		
*Please e	nsure any relev	rant documents are linked in "Project Status Information" section on page 2 of this form.	·		
Yes	O No				
	& Security	h question worth 15 points.	Scoring Guide		
1) What o	countermeas ry? If the pro	sures does the project incorporate to address crashes that result in serious or oject is a transit project, or incorporates transit, how does it contribute to STA	SRTC staff will scor this question after reviewing crash da the project's score be provided for you agency's review.	ta; will	
2) Is the	project in a	state or local safety plan, or in an approved prioritized list of safety projects?	Question 2 Score		
O Yes	O No	*Please ensure any relevant documents are linked in "Project Status Information" section on page 2 of this form.	Yes = 15 points No = 0 points		

Quality of Life	Scoring Guide
30 points possible, each question worth 10 points. *When answering questions in this section, please refer to the Regional Priority Network maps provided in the Unified List	Scoring Guide
Mapping Tool. 1) Is the project leasted on the Pagional Picycle Priority Naturals and contain a hike supportive elements.	Question 1
1) Is the project located on the Regional Bicycle Priority Network and contain a bike-supportive element(s); or does the project add a new pedestrian connection or feature beyond what is required for	Score Yes = 10 points
ADA compliance? If yes, please provide a brief explanation.	No = 0 points
○ Yes ○ No	
2) Is the project located on the Regional Transit Priority Network and contain a transit-supportive element(s)?* If yes, please provide a brief explanation.	Question 2 Score Yes = 10 points
*Transit-supportive elements include new/enhanced transit, bus stops, AT facilities, pavement work, signal improvements, and TSMO improvements.	No = 0 points
3) Does the project extend or fill a gap in one of the regional trails*, or provide new active	Question 3
transportation connectivity to one of the regional trails? If yes, please provide a brief explanation.	Score Extends or fills a gap
*Regional Trails: Centennial Trail, Children of the Sun Trail, Ben Burr Trail, Fish Lake Trail, Millwood Trail and Appleway Trail.	in a regional trail = 10 points
Extends of Fills a Gap in a Regional Trail Provides a New Connection to a Regional Trail	Provides a new
	connection to a regional trail = 5 points
	Neither = 0 points
Equity	Cassian Cuida
30 points possible, questions 1, 2, and 3 worth 10 points each. *When answering questions in this section, please refer to the map of areas of potential disadvantage and environmental	Scoring Guide
health disparities provided in the Unified List Mapping Tool.	Question 1
1) Does the project directly benefit residents in an area of potential disadvantage or an area rated 7 or higher for overall environmental health disparities? If yes, please provide a brief explanation.	Score
○ Yes ○ No	Yes = 10 points No = 0 points
	Question 2
1) If you answered yes to question 1, has the project gone through a documented public outreach process, or has targeted engagement been conducted, with communities of potential disadvantage?	Score
If yes, please describe the outreach process and/or engagement that was conducted.	Yes = 5 points No = 0 points
○ Yes ○ No	
3) Does the project incorporate appropriate countermeasures to address safety issues, or contribute	Question 3
to STA safety targets, in an area of potential disadvantage or an area rated 7 or higher for overall	Score Yes = 10 points
environmental health disparities? If yes, please provide a brief explanation.	No = 0 points
○ Yes ○ No	
4) Does the project provide access, or increase transit frequency, between an area of potential	Question 4 Score
disadvantage or an area rated 7 or higher for overall environmental health disparities and local/ regional activity centers? If yes, please provide a brief explanation.	Yes = 5 points
	No = 0 points
() Yes () No	