SRTC 2027-2029 Call for Projects - Preservation Application



PROJECT TITLE:

AGENCY RANKING (1 = highest priority 4 = lowest):

REQUESTED SRTC REGIONAL FUNDS (STBG)- LIMITED TO \$1.35 MILLION OR LESS:

| GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION | |
|---|---|
| Agency or Organization | Phone Number |
| Contact Person | Email Address |
| Project Information | |
| Project Location | |
| ☐ Urbanized Area ☐ Urban Small ☐ Rural | |
| Federal Functional Classification | |
| Project Description | |
| Project scope (include termini and <u>length</u>) | |
| Existing and proposed conditions | |
| Project purpose and outcomes | |
| $\hfill\Box$ The project sponsor must indicate that the project, once completed, will be | maintained for the life of the project. |
| Please describe the plan, cycle, funding source and enforcement mechanisms year-round/four-season use. | s (i.e. snow removal policy) to maintain this project for |
| Project Delivery Tools ☐ The project sponsor must certify that they will utilize all project delivery to if necessary, to meet project obligation schedules. | ols available, including eminent domain, to acquire ROW, |

| Attachme | ents | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|
| ☐ Shapet | file and Vicinity map | | |
| ☐ Typical | □ Typical Cross Sections | | |
| ☐ Cost E | stimate | | |
| ☐ Project | Endorsement form | | |
| ☐ If possi | ible, please include project GIS shapefile(s) | | |
| • | | | |
| Cost Info | rmation (in addition to the Cost Estimate) | | |
| Cost estir | mate notes (optional if additional information is needed) | | |
| Note: mate | the commitment of secured matching funds or other funds an ching funds must be available at the time of fund obligation. The snay utilize toll credits in lieu of local match. | | |
| Please inc | dicate if there are any circumstances that could delay the obli | gation of funds. | |
| 1. Econ | IOMIC VITALITY – 15 POINTS | | |
| Employm | nent and Destination Accessibility | | |
| 1a (5). To | be scored internally by SRTC staff using Figure 2.19 in Horizon | 2045. (See all respective layers in online map) | |
| Project | | Criteria | |
| Score | Category | and Requirements | |
| 5 | Project location is a critical connection within or directly connecting to a regional activity center. | Increasing the efficiency of one or more modes. | |
| 3 | Serves a regionally significant transportation center (e.g. park and rides, airport, transit centers). | Improving access to terminals (air, transit, or multimodal). | |
| 1 | Project location is a critical connection to a local activity center. | Increasing the efficiency of one or more modes. | |
| Examples Low) | es the project have another connection to economic vitality that is include – access to other activity centers, access to jobs, tourism, letwork (Internal Use Only) | | |
| | his project located on a FGTS classified T1 to T4 route, or on WSE ored internally by SRTC staff using the FGTS and WSDOT True | | |
| | T1 – 5 points | in resignic Economic Cornact (11 Ec) Data. | |
| | ☐ T2 – 4 points | | |
| | ☐ T3 or TFEC connector route – 3 points | | |
| Г | ☐ T4 – 1 point | | |

2. COOPERATION AND LEADERSHIP - 15 POINTS

| Local | Pla | nniı | ng A | lign | ment |
|-------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| | | | | | |

2a (5) Is this project consistent with your Comprehensive Plan or other internal local planning objectives? Example – ADA improvements (Score High, Medium, Low)

Agency Coordination

2b (5) Is this project consistent with other external local planning objectives? Example – coordination with STA and transit enhancements due to this project (Score High, Medium, Low) Explain:

2c (5) Is this project on a CMP Corridor? (Horizon 2045 - Chapter 2, page 78)

Scored internally by SRTC staff.

□ Tier 1 CMP Corridor (5 points)

□ Tier 2 CMP Corridor (3 points)

3. STEWARDSHIP — 15 POINTS

Cost Effectiveness

3a (5). The cost effectiveness of the proposed project will be calculated using the following elements: total project cost, miles of thrutraffic lanes preserved, and estimated treatment life or treatment type. A range of cost effectiveness values and their associated points will be determined based upon the calculated values from all submitted applications.

Cost Effectiveness Formula=

Total Project Cost

(Thru-Traffic lanes Miles) x (Estimated Treatment Life)

Thru-Traffic Lane Miles

How many thru-traffic lane miles are being treated in this project? For example, if you are improving the surface of a 1-mile segment with four travel lanes, that would be 4 miles of thru-traffic lane miles. Second example, if you are improving the surface of a 1-mile segment with two lanes and a center turn lane for the entire length, that would be 2 miles of thru-traffic lane miles. The center turn lane is not a thru-traffic lane.

Estimated Treatment Life

| Roadway Usage | |
|--|------------|
| *Treatment Life Values were derived from the WSDOT TAMP Page 30, Exhibit 4-4 | |
| ☐ Chip Seal (all chip seals will use a 6-year lifespan) ☐ Grind and Overlay (all grind and overlays will use a 14-year | lifespan)* |

3b (5). What is the ADT and source of ADT?

| Urban □0-4,999 (1) | □5,000-9,999 (2) | □10,000-14,999 (3) | □15,000-19,999 (4) | □ >19,999 (5) or |
|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Rural □ 0-499 (1) | □500-999 (2) | □1,000-1,499 (3) | □1,500-1,999 (4) | □>1,999 (5) |

Funding

3c (5). Does this project have additional local/state match funds above the required 13.5%? If so, please describe:

 \square 6.5% over required local/state match (total 20% match or more) (3)

□11.5% over required local/state match (total 25% match or more) (5)

| 4. Systems Operations, Maintenance and Preservation – | - 40 Points |
|--|---|
| Condition | |
| 4a (30). Structural Condition Preservation – 30 points | |
| What is the pavement OCI and what year was the last measurement? ☐ OCI 41-55 (10 points) ☐ OCI 56-65 (20 points) ☐ OCI 66-85 (30 points) | Note: OCI will be reviewed by a team of representatives from Spokane, Spokane Valley, Spokane County, and WSDOT prior to project scoring. |
| National Highway System | |
| 4b (10) Is the project on: Scored internally by SRTC staff. | |
| ☐ The National Highway System (NHS) (10 points)? (Horizon <u>2045</u>, Figure ☐ A Minor Arterial or Major Collector (8 points)? | 2.28) |
| | |
| 5. Quality of life and Mobility – 15 Points | |
| | |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements | |
| 5a (5) Does this project benefit pedestrian transportation and/or mobility? Exrequire ADA upgrades but the project includes ADA upgrades please describe Explain: | • |
| 5b (5) Is this project on the SRTC Regional Bike Priority Network <u>and</u> will it is way? (Horizon 2045, Figure 4.5) Explain: | mprove pavement condition in the bike lane/shoulder/travel |
| If not on the SRTC Regional Bike Priority Network, does this project benefit I Explain: (3) | bicycle transportation? |
| Population | |
| 5c (5). The project is located within an area of significant existing population. Scored internally by SRTC staff by population density based on US Cer | |
| ☐ High – 5 ☐ Medium – 3 ☐ Low – 1 | |
| | |

6. EQUITY- 10 POINTS

To respond to question 6a, you may use SRTC's Areas of Potential Disadvantage, Washington's Environmental Health Disparities Map, or the USDOT ETC Explorer Tool to assess vulnerability. You can access SNAP retailer data through USDA: https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/retailer-locator

| ` ' | pes the project preserve a roadway connection between an area of potential disadvantage or an area rated 7 or higher for overall ental health disparities and: |
|-----|---|
| Scl | SRTC-designated local and regional activity center [10 points] hool(s) [7 points] ealth care facility/facilities [7 points] ocery store(s) or market(s) that accepts SNAP benefits [4 points] |

You may select more than one. If yes to any of the above, please provide a brief explanation.