

Project Submission Form

The Unified List of Regional Transportation Priorities (Unified List) is a strategic tool used to communicate agencies' current regionally important transportation priorities to state legislators and congressional members for potential funding opportunities. SRTC updates the list annually.

Please submit a completed form for each project your agency would like SRTC to evaluate for potential inclusion in the 2024 Unified List, including those that were in the previous year's Unified List if funding is still needed. You can view last year's 2023 Unified List [HERE](#).

Projects should come from your agency's legislative agenda and have a link to regional importance.

Additional information is available at: www.srtc.org/2024-unified-list-of-regional-transportation-priorities

CONTACT INFORMATION

Project Sponsor Agency

Contact Person

Phone Number

Email Address

GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title

Project Location*

**Please include a PDF map or GIS shapefile showing the project boundaries and location.*

Is the project included in a current legislative agenda for your local agency?

Yes*

No

**If yes, please include documentation.*

Project Type

**Roadway Capital includes constructing new roadways, reconstruction projects that add capacity, and paving dirt roads.*

Agency Priority Rank*

**Response is optional.*

Project Length

Begin Termini

End Termini

Project Description

Regional Importance

Please describe how this project benefits the region.

PROJECT COST AND FUNDING *Please complete the table below*

Phase	Est. Total Cost	Secured Federal Funds	Secured State Funds	Other Secured Funds	Unfunded Amount
PE					
RW					
CN					
Total					

Funding Source(s): *Please list all secured funding sources.*

Federal

State

Other

FUNDING REQUEST

2024 Federal Request Amount

2024 State Request Amount

Potential Federal Funding Source(s)

Potential State Funding Source(s)

PROJECT STATUS

Construction Start Year

Design Status

Right-of-Way Required?

Yes

No

Right-of-Way Status

Environmental Type

Environmental Status

**Please include documentation supporting the project's design, environmental, and right-of-way status.*

Is the project included in any local, regional, or state plans or studies?

If yes, please list any plans or studies the project is in; include links to the documents.

Yes

No

Project Status Category*

**Please select the project status category based on the criteria below.*

Implementation:

- ✓ Design is at least 60% complete
- ✓ Significant progress has been made towards right-of-way
- ✓ Environmental approvals are underway
- ✓ Project is identified in a local, regional, and/or state plan

Development:

- ✓ Design is at least 30% complete
- ✓ Right-of-way needs are identified
- ✓ Environmental has been initiated
- ✓ Project is identified in a local, regional, and/or state plan

Initiation:

- ✓ Project has, at a minimum, been identified in a planning study
- ✓ Limited investment has been made towards further developing the project

PROJECT BENEFITS AND IMPACTS

Project Benefits: *Please briefly describe the project's safety, mobility, and economic benefits.*

Project Impacts: *Please briefly describe any relevant equity and/or environmental considerations.*

Project Supporters: *Please list all project supporters—include any relevant public or private sector entities.*

PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA

The following criteria will be used to develop determine a projects overall alignment with the Horizon 2045 Guiding Principles and selected focus areas listed below. Please refer to the Unified List Mapping Tool, available [HERE](#), to assist with answering the questions below.

Please use the scoring guide on the right side of the page to track your project's score while completing this section of the form. As you complete the form, the project's overall score, along with scores in each criteria area will be automatically tracked below.

TOTAL SCORE
Out of 185*

Economic Vitality*

Cooperation & Leadership

Stewardship

Operations, Maintenance & Preservation

Safety & Security*

Quality of Life

Equity

*The total score above does not include Economic Vitality Question 3 and Safety & Security Question 2, which will be scored by SRTC staff and provided to your agency for review. These questions are worth up to 10 and 15 points, respectively.

Economic Vitality

30 points possible, each question worth 10 points.

*When answering questions in this section, please refer to the Regional and Local Activity Centers map provided in the Unified List Mapping Tool.

Scoring Guide

1a. Does the project provide access within, or between, two or more regional or local activity centers?*

Yes No

Question 1a Score

Yes = 5 points
No = 0 points

1b. If you answered yes to question 1a, does the project either:

- contain active transportation or transit-supportive elements and improve access in a transit focused, mixed focus, or local activity center; or
- contain freight-supportive elements an improve access in a freight focused or mixed focus activity center?

Yes No

Question 1b Score

Yes = 5 points
No = 0 points

2. Is the project located on a Freight and Goods Transportation System (FGTS) classified route?

Question 2 Score

Tier 1 = 10 points
Tier 2 = 8 points
Tier 3 = 6 points
Tier 4 = 4 points
Tier 5 = 2 points
Not Classified = 0

3. What is the forecasted 2045 employment density of transportation analysis zones (TAZ) within a 0.25 mile buffer of the project? *SRTC staff will score this question after reviewing forecast data; the project's score will be provided for your agency's review.*

Cooperation & Leadership

30 points possible, each question worth 15 points.

*When answering questions in this section, please ensure any relevant documents (local TIPs, comprehensive plans, et cetera) are linked in "Project Status Information" section on page 2 of this form.

Scoring Guide

1. Is the project identified in the local TIP/Transit Development Plan (TDP) and/or Comprehensive Plan?

Local TIP/Transit Development Plan Comprehensive Plan

Question 1 Score

In TIP/TDP or Comp Plan = 15 points

2a. Is the project identified in any other agency plans?

Yes No

Question 2a/2b Score

Yes to 2a = 5 points

2b. Has the project gone through a documented public outreach process?

If yes, please briefly describe the process and include any relevant links.

Each check in 2b worth 2 points

Public Meetings/
Workshops/
Open Houses

Online Feedback
Opportunity
(survey, map tool, etc.)

Planning
Study

Review by Agency
Committees (Plan Commission,
project advisory committee, etc.)

Legislative
Action by Board
or Council

Stewardship

30 points possible, each question worth 10 points.

Scoring Guide

1) Does the project incorporate electrification or clean fuel strategies?

If yes, please provide a brief explanation.

Yes No

Question 1
Score

Yes = 10 points
No = 0 points

2) Does the project increase resilience by adding redundancy in an area of limited connectivity?*

If yes, please provide a brief explanation.

*Areas of limited connectivity are defined as those lacking the necessary infrastructure to support federal functional classification (FFC) spacing guidelines and/or transit in areas currently lacking service. More information on FFC spacing guidelines can be found in WSDOT's [Guidelines for Amending Functional Classification in Washington State](#) manual.

Yes No

Question 2
Score

Yes = 10 points
No = 0 points

3) Does the project reduce air quality emissions or VHT/VMT?

If yes, please provide a brief explanation.

Yes No

Question 3
Score

Yes = 10 points
No = 0 points

Operations, Maintenance & Preservation

30 points possible, each question worth 10 points.

Scoring Guide

1) Does the project incorporate TDM/TSMO solution or improve capacity without adding travel lanes or roadways? If yes, please provide a brief explanation.

Yes No

Question 1
Score

Yes = 10 points
No = 0 points

2) Does the project improve NHS pavement/bridge condition or contribute to STA's adopted public transit asset management targets? If yes, please provide a brief explanation.

Yes No

Question 2
Score

Yes = 10 points
No = 0 points

3) Does the project address a need identified in the [SRTMC ITS Architecture Plan](#) projects list (tables 54 & 55, starting on page 206 of the plan), SRTMC Implementation Plan, or a local technology plan?*

If yes, please provide a brief explanation.

*Please ensure any relevant documents are linked in "Project Status Information" section on page 2 of this form.

Yes No

Question 3
Score

Yes = 10 points
No = 0 points

Safety & Security

30 points possible, each question worth 15 points.

Scoring Guide

1) What countermeasures does the project incorporate to address crashes that result in serious or fatal injury? If the project is a transit project, or incorporates transit, how does it contribute to STA safety targets?

SRTC staff will score this question after reviewing crash data; the project's score will be provided for your agency's review.

2) Is the project in a state or local safety plan, or in an approved prioritized list of safety projects?

Yes No

*Please ensure any relevant documents are linked in "Project Status Information" section on page 2 of this form.

Question 2
Score

Yes = 15 points
No = 0 points

Quality of Life

30 points possible, each question worth 10 points.

**When answering questions in this section, please refer to the Regional Priority Network maps provided in the Unified List Mapping Tool.*

1) Is the project located on the Regional Bicycle Priority Network and contain a bike-supportive element(s); or does the project add a new pedestrian connection or feature beyond what is required for ADA compliance? *If yes, please provide a brief explanation.*

Yes No

2) Is the project located on the Regional Transit Priority Network and contain a transit-supportive element(s)?* *If yes, please provide a brief explanation.*

**Transit-supportive elements include new/enhanced transit, bus stops, AT facilities, pavement work, signal improvements, and TSMO improvements.*

Yes No

3) Does the project extend or fill a gap in one of the regional trails*, or provide new active transportation connectivity to one of the regional trails? *If yes, please provide a brief explanation.*

**Regional Trails: Centennial Trail, Children of the Sun Trail, Ben Burr Trail, Fish Lake Trail, Millwood Trail and Appleway Trail.*

Extends or Fills a Gap in a Regional Trail

Provides a New Connection to a Regional Trail

Equity

30 points possible, questions 1, 2, and 3 worth 10 points each.

**When answering questions in this section, please refer to the map of areas of potential disadvantage and environmental health disparities provided in the Unified List Mapping Tool.*

1) Does the project directly benefit residents in an area of potential disadvantage or an area rated 7 or higher for overall environmental health disparities? *If yes, please provide a brief explanation.*

Yes No

2) Does the project incorporate appropriate countermeasures to address safety issues, or contribute to STA safety targets, in an area of potential disadvantage or an area rated 7 or higher for overall environmental health disparities? *If yes, please provide a brief explanation.*

Yes No

3) Does the project provide access, or increase transit frequency, between an area of potential disadvantage or an area rated 7 or higher for overall environmental health disparities and local/regional activity centers? *If yes, please provide a brief explanation.*

Yes No

4) Does the project include potential negative impacts to areas of potential disadvantage?* *If yes, please describe efforts to mitigate these impacts.*

Yes No

Scoring Guide

Question 1 Score

Yes = 10 points
No = 0 points

Question 2 Score

Yes = 10 points
No = 0 points

Question 3 Score

Extends or fills a gap in a regional trail = 10 points

Provides a new connection to a regional trail = 5 points

Neither = 0 points

Scoring Guide

Question 1 Score

Yes = 10 points
No = 0 points

Question 2 Score

Yes = 10 points
No = 0 points

Question 3 Score

Yes = 10 points
No = 0 points

**Question not scored; asked for informational purposes only. SRTC is actively developing a methodology to evaluate negative equity impacts for future updates.*