

SRTC 2024-2026 Call for Preservation Projects Application For Years 2024, 2025 and 2026



PROJECT TITLE:

AGENCY RANKING (1 = highest priority 4 = lowest):

REQUESTED SRTC REGIONAL FUNDS (STBG)- REQUEST LIMITED TO \$1.5 Million OR LESS: \$

GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

Agency or Organization _____

Phone Number _____

Contact Person _____

Email Address _____

Project Information

Project Location

Urbanized Area Urban Small Rural

Federal Functional Classification

Project Description

Project scope (include termini and length)

Existing and proposed conditions

Project purpose and outcomes

The project sponsor must indicate that the project, once completed, will be maintained for the life of the project.

Please describe the plan, cycle, funding source and enforcement mechanisms (i.e. snow removal policy) to maintain this project for year-round/four-season use.

Project Delivery Tools

The project sponsor must certify that they will utilize all project delivery tools available, including eminent domain, to acquire ROW, if necessary, to meet project obligation schedules.

Attachments

- Vicinity map
- Typical Cross Sections
- Cost Estimate
- Project Endorsement form
- If possible, please include project GIS shapefile(s)

Cost Information (in addition to the Cost Estimate)

Cost estimate notes (optional if additional information is needed)

Describe the commitment of secured matching funds or other funds and the status of obtaining any unsecured funds.

Note: matching funds must be available at the time of fund obligation. The small towns of Fairfield, Latah, Rockford, Spangle and Waverly may utilize toll credits in lieu of local match.

Please indicate if there are any circumstances that could delay the obligation of funds.

1. ECONOMIC VITALITY – 15 POINTS

Employment and Destination Accessibility

1a (5). To be scored internally by SRTC staff using Figure 2.19 in Horizon 2045. (See all respective layers in online [map](#))

Project Score	Category	Criteria and Requirements
5 <input type="checkbox"/>	Project location is a critical connection within or directly connecting to a regional activity center.	Increasing the efficiency of one or more modes.
3 <input type="checkbox"/>	Serves a regionally significant transportation center (e.g. park and rides, airport, transit centers).	Improving access to terminals (air, transit, or multimodal).
1 <input type="checkbox"/>	Project location is a critical connection to a local activity center.	Increasing the efficiency of one or more modes.

1b (5). Does the project have another connection to economic vitality that is not captured by 1a, or in addition to 1a? Please explain. Examples include – access to other activity centers, access to jobs, tourism, regional trailheads, sports complex (Score High, Medium, Low)

Freight Network (Internal Use Only)

1c (5). Is this project located on a FGTS classified T1 to T4 route, or on WSDOT's Truck Freight Economic Corridor?

To be scored internally by SRTC staff using the FGTS and WSDOT Truck Freight Economic Corridor (TFEC) Data.

- T1 – 5 points
- T2 – 4 points
- T3 or TFEC connector route – 3 points
- T4 – 1 point

2. COOPERATION AND LEADERSHIP – 15 POINTS

Local Planning Alignment

2a (5) Is this project consistent with your Comprehensive Plan or other internal local planning objectives? Example – ADA improvements (Score High, Medium, Low)

Agency Coordination

2b (5) Is this project consistent with other external local planning objectives? Example – coordination with STA and transit enhancements due to this project (Score High, Medium, Low)

Explain:

2c (5) Is this project on a CMP Corridor? (Horizon 2045 - Chapter 2, page 78)

Scored internally by SRTC staff.

- Tier 1 CMP Corridor (5 points)
- Tier 2 CMP Corridor (3 points)

3. STEWARDSHIP – 15 POINTS

Cost Effectiveness

3a (5). The cost effectiveness of the proposed project will be calculated using the following elements: total project cost, miles of thru-traffic lanes preserved, and estimated treatment life or treatment type. A range of cost effectiveness values and their associated points will be determined based upon the calculated values from all submitted applications.

Cost Effectiveness Formula=
$$\frac{\text{Total Project Cost}}{(\text{Thru-Traffic lanes Miles}) \times (\text{Estimated Treatment Life})}$$

Thru-Traffic Lane Miles

How many thru-traffic lane miles are being treated in this project? For example, if you are improving the surface of a 1-mile segment with four travel lanes, that would be 4 miles of thru-traffic lane miles. Second example, if you are improving the surface of a 1-mile segment with two lanes and a center turn lane for the entire length, that would be 2 miles of thru-traffic lane miles. The center turn lane is not a thru-traffic lane.

Estimated Treatment Life

- Chip Seal (all chip seals will use a 6-year lifespan) Grind and Overlay (all grind and overlays will use a 14-year lifespan)*

*Treatment Life Values were derived from the WSDOT [TAMP](#) Page 30, Exhibit 4-4

Roadway Usage

3b (5). What is the ADT and source of ADT?

- Urban 0-4,999 (1) 5,000-9,999 (2) 10,000-14,999 (3) 15,000-19,999 (4) >19,999 (5) or
Rural 0-499 (1) 500-999 (2) 1,000-1,499 (3) 1,500-1,999 (4) >1,999 (5)

Funding

3c (5). Does this project have additional local/state match funds above the required 13.5%? If so, please describe:

- 6.5% over required local/state match (total 20% match or more) (3)
- 11.5% over required local/state match (total 25% match or more) (5)

4. SYSTEMS OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE AND PRESERVATION – 40 POINTS

Condition

4a (30). Structural Condition Preservation – 30 points

What is the pavement OCI and what year was the last measurement?

- OCI 41-55 (10 points)
- OCI 56-65 (20 points)
- OCI 66-85 (30 points)

National Highway System

4b (10) Is the project on:

Scored internally by SRTC staff.

- The National Highway System (NHS) (10 points)? (Horizon [2045](#), Figure 2.28)
- A Minor Arterial or Major Collector (8 points)?

5. QUALITY OF LIFE AND MOBILITY – 15 POINTS

Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements

5a (5) Does this project benefit pedestrian transportation and/or mobility? Example – Crosswalk enhancements. If the project *does not* require ADA upgrades but the project includes ADA upgrades please describe here.

Explain:

5b (5) Is this project on the SRTC Regional Bike Priority Network and will it improve pavement condition in the bike lane/shoulder/travel way? (Horizon 2045, Figure 4.5)

Explain:

If not on the SRTC Regional Bike Priority Network, does this project benefit bicycle transportation?

Explain: (3)

Population

5c (5). The project is located within an area of significant existing population.

Scored internally by SRTC staff by population density based on US Census blocks.

- High – 5
- Medium – 3
- Low – 1

6.0 Equity (Not scored). SRTC will overlay the project on the Washington Environmental Health Disparities Map (Score ≥ 7) and Areas of Potential Disadvantage Map from Horizon 2045, Figure 2.10.