**SRTC 2021 Call for Preservation Projects Application**

**For Years 2022-2023**

**PROJECT TITLE:**

**AGENCY RANKING (1 = highest priority 4 = lowest):**

**REQUESTED SRTC REGIONAL FUNDS (STBG)- REQUEST LIMITED TO $1 Million OR LESS:** $

**General Project Information**

Agency or Organization ­      Phone Number

Contact Person­       Email Address

**Project Information**

**Project Location**

[ ] Urbanized Area[ ] Urban Small[ ] Rural

**Federal Functional Classification**

**Project Description**

Project scope (include termini and length)

Existing and proposed conditions

Project purpose and outcomes

[ ] The project sponsor must indicate that the project, once completed, will be maintained for the life of the project.

Please describe the plan, cycle, funding source and enforcement mechanisms (i.e. snow removal policy) to maintain this project for year-round/four-season use.

**Project Delivery Tools**

[ ]  The project sponsor must certify that they will utilize all project delivery tools available, including eminent domain, to acquire ROW, if necessary, to meet project obligation schedules.

**Attachments**

[ ] Vicinity map

[ ]  Typical Cross Sections

[ ]  Cost Estimate

[ ]  Project Endorsement form

[ ]  If possible, please include project GIS shapefile(s)

**Cost Information (in addition to the Cost Estimate)**

**Cost estimate notes (optional if additional information is needed)**

**Describe the commitment of secured matching funds or other funds and the status of obtaining any unsecured funds.**

*Note: matching funds must be available at the time of fund obligation.*

**Please indicate if there are any circumstances that could delay the obligation of funds.**

**1. Economic Vitality – 15 Points**

**Employment and Destination Accessibility**

**1a (5). To be scored internally by SRTC staff with the maps referenced in the table below** (See respective layers in online map)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ProjectScore | Category | Criteriaand Requirements |
| 5  | Provides a critical connection within or between two or more core areas (see employment core map) | Increasing the efficiency of one or more modes to an employment core. |
| 3 | Serves a regionally significant employment center (see employment center map) | Improving or enhancing the movement of workers, freight, and/or services to/from an employment center. |
| 1 | Serves a regional transportation center (see transportation center map) | Improving access to terminals (air, transit, or multimodal). |

**1b (5).** Does the project have another connection to economic vitality that is not captured by 1a, or in addition to 1a? Please explain. Examples include - access to other activity centers, tourism, regional trailheads, sports complex. (Score High, Medium, Low)

**Freight Network (Internal Use Only)**

**1c (5).** Is this project located on a FGTS classified T1 to T4 route, or on WSDOT’s Truck Freight Economic Corridor?

**To be scored internally by SRTC staff using the FGTS and WSDOT Truck Freight Economic Corridor (TFEC) Data.**

T1 – 5 points

T2 – 4 points

T3 or TFEC connector route – 3 points

T4 – 1 point

**2. Cooperation and Leadership – 15 Points**

**Local Planning Alignment**

**2a (5)** Is this project consistent with your Comprehensive plan or other internal local planning objectives? Example - ADA improvements (Score High, Medium, Low)

**Agency Coordination**

**2b (5)** Is this project consistent with other external local planning objectives? Example - coordination with STA and transit enhancements due to this project (Score High, Medium, Low)

Explain

**2c (5)** Is this project on a CMP Corridor? (Horizon [2040](https://www.srtc.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/Chapter-3-Feb-20-2018-revisions.pdf)-page 2-51)

**Scored internally by SRTC staff.**

Tier 1 CMP Corridor (5 points)

Tier 2 CMP Corridor (3 points)

**3. Stewardship – 15 points**

**Cost Effectiveness**

**3a (5).** The cost effectiveness of the proposed project will be calculated using the following elements: total project cost, miles of thru- traffic lanes preserved and, estimated treatment life or treatment type. A range of cost effectiveness values and their associated points will be determined based upon the calculated values from all submitted applications. (Standard deviation approach)

Cost Effectiveness Formula= Total Project Cost

 (Thru-Traffic lanes Miles) x (Estimated Treatment Life)

Thru-Traffic Lane Miles

How many thru-traffic lane miles are being treated in this project? For example, if you are improving the surface of a 1-mile segment with four travel lanes, that would be 4 miles of thru-traffic lane miles. Second example, if you are improving the surface of a 1-mile segment with two lanes and a center turn lane for the entire length, that would be 2 miles of thru-traffic lane miles. The center turn lane is not a thru-traffic lane.

Estimated Treatment Life

 [ ]  Chip Seal (all chip seals will use a 6-year lifespan) [ ] Grind and Overlay (all grind and overlays will use a 14-year lifespan)\*

\*Treatment Life Values were derived from the WSDOT [TAMP](https://wsdot.wa.gov/sites/default/files/filefield_paths/WSDOT_TAMP_2019_Web.pdf) Page 29 , Exhibit 4-4

**Roadway Usage**

**3b (5).** What is the ADT and source of ADT?

Urban [ ] 0-4,999 (1) [ ] 5,000-9,999 (2) [ ] 10,000-14,999 (3) [ ] 15,000-19,999 (4) [ ]  >19.999 (5) or

Rural [ ] 0-499 (1) [ ] 500-999 (2) [ ] 1,000-1,499 (3) [ ] 1,500-1,999 (4) [ ] >1,999 (5)

**Funding**

**3c (5).** Does this project have additional local/state match funds above the required 13.5%? If so, please describe:

[ ] 6.5% over required local/state match (total 20% match or more) (3)

[ ] 11.5% over required local/state match (total 25% match or more) (5)

**4. Systems Operations, Maintenance and Preservation – 40 Points**

**Condition**

**4a (30)**. Structural Condition Preservation -30 points

What is the pavement OCI and what year was the last measurement?

[ ]  OCI 41-55 (10 points)

[ ]  OCI 56-65 (20 points)

[ ]  OCI 66-85 (30 points)

**National Highway System**

**4b (10)** Is the project on:

**Scored internally by SRTC staff.**

☐ The National Highway System (NHS) (10 points)? (Horizon [2040](https://www.srtc.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/Chapter-2-Feb-20-2018-revisions-.pdf), page 2-22)

☐ A Minor Arterial or Major Collector (5 points)?

**5. Quality of life and Mobility – 15 Points**

**Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements**

**5a (5)** Does this project benefit pedestrian transportation and/or mobility? Example – Crosswalk enhancements

Explain

**5b (5)** Is this project on the SRTC Regional Bike Priority Network and will it improve pavement condition in the bike lane/shoulder/travel way? (Horizon [2040](https://www.srtc.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/Chapter-4-Feb-1-2018-revisions.pdf), Page 4-4)

Explain

If not on the SRTC Regional Bike Priority Network, does this project benefit bicycle transportation?

Explain (3)

**Population**

**5c (5).** The project is located within an area of significant existing population.

**Scored internally by SRTC staff by population density based on US Census blocks:**

High – 5 points

Medium –3 points

Low – 1 point

**2021 SRTC Call for Preservation Projects**

Local Agency Project Endorsement

**PROJECT TITLE:**

The attached project application reflects established local funding priorities consistent with the adopted local plans and/or programs.

The project described is financially feasible; local match revenue identified on the project application is available and will be committed to the project if it receives the requested grant.

Costs identified in the application represent accurate planning level estimates needed to accomplish the work described herein. As stated in policy 6.1 of the 2018 TIP Guidebook, any cost overruns are the responsibility of the project sponsor.

The project sponsor must certify that they will utilize all project delivery tools available, including eminent domain, to acquire ROW, if necessary, to meet project obligation schedules.

The use of federal funds for this project entails administrative and project compliance for which the project sponsor will be responsible.

This project has the full endorsement of the governing body/leadership of this agency or organization. This document must be signed by a person in a position or a representative of a governing body that has the authority to make decisions for the entire organization. It is up to the applicant to determine the appropriate representative to sign this endorsement.

Name and Title of Designated Representative

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Designated Representative Date