

Call for Projects Scoring Guide

Q1b – *if points were awarded for Q1a, project cannot receive points for Q1b*

- (5) High- Stadiums/Arenas/Fairgrounds (those facilities not awarded under Q1a), etc.
- (3) Medium- Courthouse/City Halls/Neighborhood Centers/Regional Trail Connections, etc.
- (1) Low- Schools, Libraries, etc.
- (0) - does not serve critical regional public facility with significant activity

Q1c – *project can receive points for Q1c, if applicable, regardless of Q1a score.*

- (5) High- specific improvement is identified in an adopted area master plan (Council or Board adopted sub-area study that includes project specific targeted investment details)
- (3) Medium- area served is included in an unadopted area master plan or under the jurisdiction of a public development authority
- (1) Low- project is in an area that is subject to a future area master plan
- (0) – project does not serve an area that is targeted for planned future growth or revitalization

Q1d – *if points were awarded for Q1a, project can receive points for Q1d if answer does not relate to geography. If no points were awarded for Q1a, project can receive points for Q1d if answer satisfies question.*

- (5) High- documented (not necessarily included in the application, but verifiable) economic drivers **not** captured by, but in addition to, Q1a-c
- (3) Medium- economic drivers with no quantifiable benefit **not** captured by, but in addition to, Q1a-c
- (1) Low- potential economic drivers **not** captured by, but in addition to, Q1a-c
- (0) – project does not have a connection to economic vitality, or repeats answer from Q1a-c or Q1f

Q2a

- (15) High- specifically called out in comp plan, top priority in CIP
- (10) Medium- generally mentioned in comp plan, included in CIP
- (5) Low- consistent with comp plan, not included in CIP
- (0) – not consistent with Comp Plan or included in CIP

Q2b

- (20) High- project is included in other agencies long range planning efforts, including adopted sub area studies
- (10) Medium- other agencies are actively participating in the design/review of the project
- (5) Low- project sponsor shares jurisdiction with other agencies
- (0) – project does not advance the goals beyond those of the project sponsor

Q4a – *score answer at face value without inferring from other portions of the application*

- (5) – direct improvements (pavement condition, capacity, etc.) to, or separating modes on, the NHS
- (3) – indirect improvements (pavement condition, capacity, etc.), or separating modes, that benefit the NHS
- (0) – the project does not support the NHS

Q5b – *new facilities must include a safety specific element (i.e. – separated bike lane, rumble strips, roundabout, etc.) to be awarded points based on the below*

- (25) High- 3 or more safety related components, and associated countermeasures, not credited in Q5a. Or, a comprehensive safety related education program with benefits not captured in Q5a.
- (15) Medium- 2 safety related components, and associated countermeasures, not credited in Q5a. Or, a less comprehensive safety related education program with benefits not captured in Q5a.
- (5) Low- 1 safety related components, and associated countermeasure, not credited in Q5a
- (0) – project does not contain components that benefit safety, or all countermeasures are already credited in Q5a

Q6f – *new facilities, or programs, that contain elements that support health-promoting transportation options for people of all abilities and ages should be scored as 'high'*

- (5) High- unequivocally and completely supports health-promoting transportation options for people of all abilities and ages
- (1) Low- further supports health-promoting transportation options for people of all abilities and ages as compared to the facility in its existing as-built condition
- (0) – project does not support health-promoting transportation options for people of all abilities and ages